



OREGON MILITARY DEPARTMENT
JOINT FORCE HEADQUARTERS, OREGON NATIONAL GUARD
INSTALLATIONS DIVISION
1776 MILITIA WAY SE
P.O. BOX 14350
SALEM, OREGON 97309-5047

August 31, 2021

**SUBJECT: Addendum Number 2 to Project Number 219995C001, CUTC Enlisted Barracks i, ii, iii, iv.
Project number 219995C001 (oregonbuys number S-24800-00000397)**

TO ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS AND ALL OTHERS CONCERNED

1. The changes, clarifications, omissions, additions, and alterations in, on, and to the bidding information and specifications shall apply to the bids made for and to the project indicated above. Except as modified by this Addendum Number 1, all of the terms and provisions of the Invitation to Bid (ITB) for the above listed project remain in full force and effect. This Addendum Number 1 supersedes all previous instructions pertaining to the items listed:

CHANGES TO ITB

2. **Changes to ITB:** The following revisions are made to the ITB. (Where applicable, deleted language is indicated in strikethrough new language is indicated in bold underline).

A. Attachment B Technical Specifications and Attachment C Plans and Drawings

The following files are hereby by added to Attachment B Technical Specifications and Attachment C Plans and Drawings.

EB1_Addendum B_8-27-2021 zip file

EB2_Addendum B_8-27-2021 zip file

EB3_Addendum B_8-27-2021 zip file

EB4_Addendum B_8-27-2021 zip file

B. Exhibit 1 to Addendum 2 Responses to RFIs and substitution request is here by incorporated into the ITB

File name Exhibit 1 to addendum 2 Camp Umatilla Barracks - RFI Log, With Responses_8-30-21

NO ITEMS FOLLOW

3. **This addendum must be acknowledged and submitted for this project.** By acknowledging this addendum on Section H, (2), (a) of the ITB, the bidder agrees they have read and understood the above mentioned changes, and have incorporated those changes into their bid.

PROTEST OF ANY CHANGES TO THE ITB MADE BY THIS ADDENDUM MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITTEN FORM TO SAM GOFF, CONTRACT SPECIALIST, OREGON MILITARY DEPARTMENT-AGI, 1776 MILITIA WAY SE, SALEM, OREGON BY 3:00 PM ON SEPTEMBER 2, 2021.

EXHIBIT 1 TO ADDENDUM 2 TO ITB 219995C001
RESPONSES TO RFIs and SUBSTITUTION REQUESTS RECEIVED THROUGH 8-27-21

RFI Question	Drawing / Spec	RFI Response
Do you indeed require a Rope Descent System for Windows cleaning for building EB1? Or would a Fall Arrest/Restraint system for safe roof maintenance (but not suspended access) be more appropriate for building EB1? Also if fall protection is required for building EB1 should we also provide fall protection for building EB3? Please confirm the Fire Protection Class of the project currently & what the fire protection class will be after the new fire hydrants are installed?	11 24 25 N/A N/A 01 30 00 07 46 46	A rope descent system is not required for window cleaning for EB1 or EB3. The reference to the Bosum chair is a reference standard not a requirement to provide the chair. A fall arrest/restraint system for safe roof maintenance is required for EB1 & EB3 Class 3 is the current fire protection class for the Umatilla army depot according to Boardman Rural. No. It is Boardman Rural Fire Protection. Procure is not required. No. Azek is not an equivalent product material wise, does not have the specified warranty available, requires non-campus standard paint, and is not in-line with campus standard finishes found on buildings of like construction on campus.
Please confirm the responding fire department for the project will be the Umatilla Fire District #1? Please confirm Procure will be required to be utilized on the project and supplied by the Contractor? The basis of Design for EB1 is Hardie Board. Hardie Board components are in limited supply currently. In addition to Nichiha USA, Inc. listed in specification 07 46 46, is Azek an acceptable manufacturer? https://azekexteriors.com/products/cladding?eclid=EA1a1QobChM1pLr3Pa98eIVsMmUCR21rQITEAAYASAAEgIf_D_BwE	G002 Div 21 12 36 00	Sections 05 12 00, 05 31 00, and 05 52 13 are removed from the delegated design table with Addendums A & B. All metal stair, railings, and guardrails are covered under spec section 05 51 00. No. This is denoted as delegated design and separate permit on G002. it is design-build to conform to the requirements of NFPA 13 (commercial, multistory) per the code summary. Spec Section 06 41 00 has been revised in Addendum B to include SS-1, for the restrooms attached to the open bays. SS-1 is Corian with integrated sinks - color "Modern White" on a wide sheet. PLAM occurs at Sr. Leaders Quarters, with porcelain sinks. Pointe Nevenmar studio gray. See Addendum B updates to A601 and A603 Interior Elevation Keynotes.
Drawings G002 for each of the buildings list the required Delegated Design and Deferred Submittals. There are multiple listed (Example for EB1: 05 1200, 05 5213) that do not have specifications for the project. Please advise. We were reviewing the specifications for the Umatilla Barracks project, and we're not finding the design build specs for Fire Suppression. Each barrack code summary shows Automatic Sprinklers. Can you verify that we need this as design build and provide the specification in your next Addendum? I just want to confirm the material. Section 06 41 00 calls for P-Lam only. The keynotes on A601 says N6 is 12 36 00 SOLID SURFACE COUNTERTOP. And the finish schedule only refers to P-Lam. If it is solid surface, would you please select a color/brand?	G002 Div 21 12 36 00	Sections 05 12 00, 05 31 00, and 05 52 13 are removed from the delegated design table with Addendums A & B. All metal stair, railings, and guardrails are covered under spec section 05 51 00. No. This is denoted as delegated design and separate permit on G002. it is design-build to conform to the requirements of NFPA 13 (commercial, multistory) per the code summary. Spec Section 06 41 00 has been revised in Addendum B to include SS-1, for the restrooms attached to the open bays. SS-1 is Corian with integrated sinks - color "Modern White" on a wide sheet. PLAM occurs at Sr. Leaders Quarters, with porcelain sinks. Pointe Nevenmar studio gray. See Addendum B updates to A601 and A603 Interior Elevation Keynotes.
For spec section 26 0533, is it possible to utilize MC cable in lieu of conduit at unexposed areas Substitution request for Milgard & Cascade windows in lieu of VPI Endurance.	26 05 33 08 53 13	No. The UFC does not permit MC Cable in concealed locations. Rejected. Sole source provider needed for warranty and to match campus standards. Windows submitted are not an equivalent product and the contractor did not provide the necessary information to identify a line that complies with the specified testing and performance.
Detail 12 shows 5-6" shoulder in 1 lift with 3" pave in road. Asphalt compacts approx. 1/4" per inch laid. When we pave a 3" compacted mat we lay 3.75" projecting that across the thickened edge to a 5-6" compacted depth would mean laying 7.5". The main road would compacted 0.75" while the shoulder area would compact 1.5" leaving the shoulder 0.75" lower than the road. The shoulder would require a pre level to match it with the roadway subgrade if a flat top surface is intended. Does this detail apply to all edges not matching existing asphalt or being restrained by concrete. EB1 there is approximately 167 lf, EB2 there is approximately 87 lf, EB3 there is approximately 1985 LF. EB4 there is approximately 93 lf There is a wood framed wall type 051 which has drywall on one side only, some of these walls have insulation in them. Attached is a sheet with a few typical areas that have this wall type. The wall type, per the assembly page has this furred wall going next to the structure, however, on the drawings it is used in many other places. This would leave exposed wood framing, or exposed insulation inside restrooms, closet, IT room, Mechanical rooms, and more.	C4.00 A101, A102, A603	The detail in question is accurate to current assumptions. This detail currently applies to 'all edges not matching existing asphalt or being restrained by concrete'. Please note that if Geotech engineer determines that the pavement section needs to be thicker, this detail will change. Refer to updated Addendum B sheets A101, A102 & A603 with revisions to wall assembly tags, to clarify wall type 052 at the locations in question. 1.Drywall is intended on each side of the assembly. 2.Insulation in wall type 052 is supported by the drywall on both sides.
1.I want to confirm that the intent is to have drywall on only one side of these walls. 2.On these walls that also have insulation, how is the insulation supported?		
Substitution Request for Metal-Web Wood joists: Web Joist Northwest Corp. in lieu of Redbuilt (20" Red-W, Red-L)	06 17 36	This is an acceptable substitution.